

| Date        | Item   | Members wished to make the following comments and conclusions:  | Response/Comments  |
|-------------|--|---|--|
| 03-Apr-2019 | Supporting Communities in Bridgend to be Safe and Cohesive | 1. The Committee expressed continued concerns over the historical cuts to the youth service provision and the fact that as a result there is now a heavy reliance on third sector support to provide this. Members commented that this was a good example of where the Authority's Medium Term Financial Strategy is flawed in that it does not look at the long term impact sufficiently enough. In view of the requirements of the Wellbeing of Future Generations Act, the Committee recommend that this be used as a lesson for future consideration of the budget reductions, by Cabinet and the Scrutiny Budget Research and Evaluation Panel, where more emphasis is placed on the long term impact and not just on the 1 or 4 year savings proposals. | For consideration at future Budget meetings by both Members and Cabinet  |
|             |  | 2. The Committee noted the Head of Performance and Partnerships comments in relation to the early positive engagement with the Cwm Taf Health Board on the work of the Community Safety Partnership. The Committee requested that a future update be provided to ascertain whether this engagement was ongoing and successful.  | Scrutiny will pick up on for future FWP  |
|             |  | 3. The Committee recommend that Cabinet write to Welsh Government to highlight to the Minister for Health and Social Services the issues that have previously been experienced by the Local Authority, the Police and other partner agencies in relation to engagement with the Health Authority and that going forward this needs to be a priority as meaningful, active engagement is vital in order to succeed in providing future services, particularly in relation to Mental Health.  | The Chair has agreed to receive an update from the Head of Performance and Partnerships, to ascertain whether engagement is still ongoing and successful in the first instance and then accelerate the recommendation further if progress has not been made. |
|             |  | 4. The Committee requested that the Strategy be amended in relation to the Public Service Board Scrutiny arrangements as the Community Safety Partnership did not necessarily report to the Scrutiny Panel twice a year as a rule, due to other competing Forward Work Programme topics. With this in mind the Committee also queried whether two meetings of the PSB Scrutiny Panel were sufficient and requested that this be considered as part of the Scrutiny Forward Work Programme Workshops.  | Strategy amended   |